

APPLICATION NO.

10/019,793

666 FIFTH AVE

24972

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FILING DATE 05/03/2002

7590 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP

NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198

09/22/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-14 www.uspto.gov	50	
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
CERA-236	7286	
EXAM	INER	
EXAM RAMANA, A		

3732 DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Uwe Bunz

		/Y.K		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/019,793	BUNZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Anu Ramana	3732		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	<u>luly 2003</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18 and 22-28</u> is/are pending in the	e application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18 and 22-28</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>4/21/03</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: —				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	 			

Application/Control Number: 10/019,793

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13-18 and 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the opening" in 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-18 and 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gautier (US 5,108,448) in view of Burstein et al. (US 6,368,354).

Gautier discloses a sandwich insert having an inner spherical shell or cup 3 made of a ceramic material, an intermediate spherical shell 4 or cover made from polyethylene or plastic and an outer spherical shell 2 made of metal wherein the inner cup 3 has a stud 18 on the central axis of its outer surface for engagement with cover 4 by a snap-fit connection by projecting into

Application/Control Number: 10/019,793

Art Unit: 3732

housing 19 at the vertex or pole of cover 4 (col. 4, lines 32-38; col. 5, lines 10-30; col. 6, lines 17-35; and Figure 2).

Gautier does not disclose that stud 18 has a polygonal or an oval shape.

Burstein et al. teach a multifaceted structure or stud 610 to prevent rotation between a shell or cup 720 and an insert or cup 740 wherein stud 610 has a hexagonal, other polygonal shape or an oval shape to prevent relative rotation between cups 720 and 740 (col. 3, lines 10-12 and lines 43-53; col. 4, lines 45-52; and Figures 6 and 7).

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have provided the stud 18 in the Gautier insert with a hexagonal, other polygonal shape or an oval shape, as taught by Burstein et al., to prevent relative rotation between cup 3 and cover 4.

Regarding claims 15 and 25, although Gautier is silent with respect to the length of stud 18, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a stud having an axial length of 1 to 8 mm or 2 mm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-24 in Paper No. 10 filed on July 16, 2003, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (703) 306-4035. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30 am and 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 3732

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

AR Ahmadha Ramana September 14, 2003

> EDUARDO C. ROBERT PRIMARY EXAMINER